

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/714,227	11/14/2003	Akira Asai	MAZN0104PUSA	2541		
22045 7.	590 07/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.			ESHETE, 2	ESHETE, ZELALEM		
1000 TOWN C	CENTER COND FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SOUTHFIELD		3748	3748			
		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					111			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	- IIU			
		10/714,22	7	ASAI ET AL.	JV \			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Zelalem E	shete	3748				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will , cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this coi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from con	sideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
() _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	-	- , ,		` '			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTC	<i>)</i> -152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been s have been ity documer ı (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	itage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	2	l) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/14/03;03/22/04</u> .		6) Other:	atont Application (F10-	192)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (6,405,694) in view of Ishii et al. (6,478,000).

Sato discloses an engine variable valve timing system comprising: a hydraulic variable intake phase mechanism and a hydraulic variable exhaust phase mechanism respectively provided on the ends of an intake camshaft and an exhaust camshaft that respectively vary the respective phases of the camshafts, the variable phase mechanisms respectively having advancing hydraulic pressure chambers and retarding hydraulic pressure chambers (see figures 1-4); an intake hydraulic pressure control valve and an exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve that respectively control the hydraulic pressure supplied to the advancing hydraulic pressure chambers and the retarding hydraulic pressure chambers of the variable phase mechanisms (see numerals 24,25); intake-side advancing hydraulic line and an intake-side retarding hydraulic line that respectively connect the intake hydraulic pressure control valve to the advancing hydraulic pressure chamber and the retarding hydraulic pressure chamber of

the variable intake phase mechanism (see figures 1,2); and an exhaust-side advancing hydraulic line and an exhaust-side retarding hydraulic line that respectively connect the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the advancing hydraulic pressure chamber and the retarding hydraulic pressure chamber of the variable exhaust phase mechanism (see figures 1,2); wherein portions of the intake-side advancing hydraulic line and the intake-side retarding hydraulic line respectively constitute annular grooves for advancing and retarding provided on the intake camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap which supports the camshaft, and portions of the exhaust-side advancing hydraulic line and the exhaust-side retarding hydraulic line respectively constitute annular grooves for advancing and retarding provided on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap which supports the camshaft (see numerals 46,47).

Sato fails to disclose the annular groove for retarding on the intake camshaft bearing surface and the annular groove for advancing on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface are respectively provided in the center in the width direction of their respective bearing surfaces; the annular groove for advancing on the intake camshaft bearing surface and the annular groove for retarding on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap are respectively provided near the edges of their respective bearing surfaces in the width direction, on the side close to respective variable phase mechanisms.

However, Ishii teaches the annular groove for advancing on the exhaust/intake camshaft bearing surface are respectively provided in the center in the width direction of their respective bearing surfaces; and the annular groove for retarding

on the exhaust/intake camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap are respectively provided near the edges of their respective bearing surfaces in the width direction (see figure 2, column 20, line 54 to column 21, line 10).

In addition, the above combination fails to show reversing the retard and advance grooves on the intake camshaft (intake side). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the groove positions depending on the engine, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *În re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Ishii as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al. (6,516,759).

Sato in view of Ishii discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the variable exhaust phase mechanism is provided with a spring that presses the camshaft in the advancing direction with respect to a crankshaft-side rotating member.

However, Takahashi teaches the variable exhaust phase mechanism is provided with a spring that presses the camshaft in the advancing direction with respect to a crankshaft-side rotating member in order to offset a reactive force of the camshaft (see column 10, lines 43 to 51).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,227

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Sato in view of Ishii by providing a spring arrangement as taught by Takahashi in order to offset a reactive force of the camshaft as taught by Takahashi.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Ishii as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Suzuki (6,289,861).

Sato in view of Ishii discloses the claimed invention as recited above; and Sato further discloses the exhaust hydraulic side advancing hydraulic line that extends from the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the annular groove is provided in a position (above, had it been vertical) that extends from the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the annular groove (see figure 2).

Sato in view of Ishii fails to disclose the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve is attached to the cam cap toward the vertical direction.

Suzuki teaches the hydraulic pressure control valve attached in the vertical direction (see figure 4). Suzuki also teaches that such arrangement results in a very compact assembly (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Sato in view of Ishii by providing a vertically attached hydraulic pressure control valve as taught by Suzuki in order to achieve a more compact assembly as taught by Suzuki.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Ζ

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700